Case 1:07-cv-08539-AKH Document 25

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FI
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4 CO

ORDER REGULATING
PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff,
-against
Page 1. of

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FI
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4 CO

ORDER REGULATING
PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

RCN CORPORATION,

Notwithstanding my endorsed order of April 11, 2008, plaintiff may move for reconsideration. Any such motion must be made by April 30, 2008. The motion should address only whether, in light of the first cause of action, which alleges a claim under 47 U.S.C. § 201(b), a claim under GBL § 349 serves any purpose and whether the allegations against defendant, as outlined in Count II of the Complaint, constitute deception by the plaintiff, and are not merely illegal for some other reason. If it is alleged that there is a purpose for a § 349 claim, in addition to the 47 U.S.C. § 201(b) claim, plaintiff shall specify the particular deceptive conduct complained of on the part of defendant. Plaintiff should further note that any further briefing on the intent to deceive point is unnecessary since my April 11, 2008 endorsement found that plaintiff's GBL § 349 claim failed to meet one of the required elements of such a claim under New York law – specifically any legitimate allegation that defendant's conduct was misleading in a material way.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April // , 2008

New York, New York

ALVÍN K. HELLE

United States District Judge